

[Handwritten signature and date]
K. [unclear]
3/17/98

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT : JOHN KOLLAR

SERIAL NO.: 08/567,564

RECEIVED
3.17.98

FILED : DECEMBER 5, 1995

FOR : PREPARATION OF DIALKYL PEROXIDES

ART UNIT : 1204

EXAMINER : PORFIRIO NAZARIO-GONZALES

January 17, 1998

Asst. Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

This is in response to the Office Actions mailed December 19, 1997 Paper No. 9 and April 22, 1997 Paper No. 6. This response will be referred to as Paper No. 10.

Applicant requests an expedited Office Action to this response in accordance with 37 CFR 1.607(b) " examination of the application, including any appeal to the Board, shall be conducted with special dispatch within the Patent and Trademark Office." To date Applicant has been denied this type of expedited examination by the Office and by Applicant's discharged lawyers.

RESPONSE

Examiner uses Redox Technologies Exhibit 6, a Confidence Agreement prepared by the Senior party ACC, as a basis for rejection of Claims 1-17 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) in both Paper No. 6 and Paper No. 9. Examiner in Paper No. 9 Para 6, further claims that from Exhibit 3 a joint development and